

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

Mi Familia Vota, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

Katie Hobbs, in her official capacity as
Arizona Secretary of State, et al.,

Defendants.

Living United for Change in Arizona, et
al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

Katie Hobbs,

Defendant,
and

State of Arizona, et al.,

Intervenor-Defendants.

Poder Latinx,

Plaintiff,

v.

Katie Hobbs, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. CV 22-00509-PHX-SRB

ORDER

1 United States of America,
 2 Plaintiff,
 3 v.
 4 State of Arizona, et al.,
 5 Defendants.
 6 Democratic National Committee, et al.
 7 Plaintiffs,
 8 v.
 9 Katie Hobbs, in her official capacity as
 Arizona Secretary of State, et al.,
 10 Defendants,
 11 and
 12 Republican National Committee,
 13 Intervenor-Defendant.
 14

15 The Court has considered the State's Motion for a Procedural Order Regarding
 16 Consolidated Briefing (Doc. 85) and the Joint Motion for a Procedural Order Regarding
 17 Briefing (Doc. 84) and MFV Plaintiffs' Opposition to the State's Motion for a
 18 Procedural Order Regarding Consolidated Briefing (Doc. 95), LUCHA Plaintiffs'
 19 Response to State's Motion for a Procedural Order Regarding Consolidated Briefing
 20 (Doc. 96), Plaintiff Poder Latinx's Opposition to the State's Motion for a Procedural
 21 Order Regarding Consolidated Briefing, and DNC-ADP Opposition to State's Motion
 22 for Consolidated Briefing (Doc. 99).

23 The parties agree to the following deadlines for filing various pleadings which the
 24 Court now orders:

Filing	Deadline
Deadline for Plaintiff Poder Latinx to Amend Complaint	September 6, 2022
Answer or Motion to Dismiss	September 16, 2022

1	Response to any Motion to Dismiss	October 17, 2022
2	Reply in support of any Motion to Dismiss	November 16, 2022

3
4 The parties have also agreed to certain page limitations in the event the Court
5 does not order consolidated briefing as requested in the State's Motion for a Procedural
6 Order Regarding Consolidated Briefing. Having considered the oppositions to that
7 motion, the Court will not order consolidated briefing but will restrict the number of
8 pages allowed in the various Plaintiffs' responses to the State's Consolidated Motion to
9 Dismiss and also will modify the agreed upon pages limitations requested in the Joint
10 Motion for a Procedural Order Regarding Briefing.

11 IT IS ORDERED that State Defendants may file a consolidated Motion to
12 Dismiss that does not exceed 30 pages in length.


13 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, if all Plaintiffs except the United States agree
14 to file a consolidated Response to the State Defendants' Motion to Dismiss, the
15 consolidated Response shall not exceed 30 pages in length.

16 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, if there is not one consolidated Response, the
17 Plaintiffs in each case may file a Response not to exceed 10 pages in length.

18 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the United States may file a Response to the
19 State Defendants' Motion to Dismiss not to exceed 20 pages in length.

20 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the State Defendants' Reply Briefs to all
21 Responses (including their Reply to the United States' Response Brief) shall not exceed
22 30 pages in length whether filed as one consolidated Reply or as separate Replies to the
23 Responses.

24 Dated this 2nd day of September, 2022.

25
26 
27 Susan R. Bolton
28 United States District Judge